Cerebral Cortex, 2016, 1-10

doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhw147 Original Article

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Contour Integration over Time: Psychophysical and fMRI Evidence

Shu-Guang Kuai¹, Wu Li², Cong Yu³ and Zoe Kourtzi⁴

¹MOE and Shanghai Key Laboratories of Brain Functional Genomics and School of Psychology and Cognitive Science, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China, ²State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning and IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China, ³Department of Psychology, IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, and Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100181, China and ⁴Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3AR, UK

Address correspondence to Cong Yu, Department of Psychology, Peking University, 100181 Beijing, China. Email: yucong@pku.edu.cn; Zoe Kourtzi, Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3AR, UK. Email: zk240@cam.ac.uk

Abstract

The brain integrates discrete but collinear stimuli to perceive global contours. Previous contour integration (CI) studies mainly focus on integration over space, and CI is attributed to either V1 long-range connections or contour processing in high-visual areas that top-down modulate V1 responses. Here, we show that CI also occurs over time in a design that minimizes the roles of V1 long-range interactions. We use tilted contours embedded in random orientation noise and moving horizontally behind a xed vertical slit. Individual contour elements traveling up/down within the slit would be encoded over time by parallel, rather than aligned, V1 neurons. However, we nd robust contour detection even when the slit permits only one viewable contour element. Similar to CI over space, CI over time also obeys the rule of collinearity. fMRI evidence shows that while CI over space engages visual areas as early as V1, CI over time mainly engages higher dorsal and ventral visual areas involved in shape processing, as well as posterior parietal regions involved in visual memory that can represent the orientation of temporally integrated contours. These results suggest at least partially dissociable mechanisms for implementing the Gestalt rule of continuity in CI over space and time.

Key words: contour integration, fMRI, Gestalt principles, good continuity, psychophysics

Introduction

Integrating local image fragments into global shapes is critical for object recognition in complex environments. This contour integration (CI) process has extensively been investigated in psychophysical, neurophysiological, neuroimaging, and computational modeling studies (e.g., Field et al. 1993; Li 1998, 2006; Kourtzi et al. 2003). However, the neural mechanisms under CI remain controversial. One distinct characteristic is that it follows the Gestalt rule of good continuation. That is, adjacent contour segments that are similarly oriented and aligned are more likely to be integrated. Because long-range horizontal connections in V1 are known to connect neurons with similar orientation preferences (Gilbert and Wiesel 1989), many CI theories assume that such connections would mediate CI through contextual modulation (e.g., Field et al. 1993; Li 1998; Kapadia et al. 2000).

However, neuroimaging evidence indicates that CI involves multiple areas from V1 to lateral occipital complex (LOC; Altmann et al. 2003 ; Kourtzi et al. 2003). There are reports that high-level visual regions such as LOC are activated earlier than V1 by contour stimuli (Mijovic et al. 2013 ; Shpaner et al. 2013). These results are consistent with monkey data that contourrelated responses in V1 are delayed with respect to initial neural

© The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com

responses to visual stimuli (Li et al. 2006; Gilad et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2014), and that V1 responses to contour stimuli are strongly modulated by top-down feedback (Li et al. 2006, 2008; McManus et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2014). However, it is unclear whether V1 horizontal connections are an indispensable machinery for CI under all viewing conditions.

In this study, we examine whether CI could still take place in a viewing condition where V1 horizontal connections are likely rendered ineffective. We ask the observers to detect a collinear contour embedded in random orientation noise, which is similar to stimuli used in many CI studies (e.g., Field et al. 1993), while the whole stimulus image is moving behind a xed vertical slit (Fig. 1a). The contour is tilted while the whole stimulus image moves horizontally. Therefore, the viewable elements of the contour move either up or down within the vertical slit, one at a time. These contour elements would be encoded over time by V1 neurons that are not arranged along the contour path, but are parallel to each other. If the contour is still detectable when the slit is narrow enough to allow only up to one contour element to be viewed at any moment, we would argue that V1 horizontal connections may not play a signi cant role in this particular temporal integration process. Rather, we reason that higher-level mechanisms responsible for visual working memory and shape perception may play more prominent roles.

Materials and Methods

Observers

Twenty-two observers (12 males and 10 females, mean age = 24 years) with normal or correctedin psychophysical and fMRI experiments. Some observers participated in more than one psychophysical and/or fMRI experiments (see Results). All, except S.-G.K., were new to psychophysical and fMRI experiments and were unaware of the purposes of the study. Informed written consent was obtained from each observer prior to data collection.

Stimuli

The stimuli comprised 256 Gabors (Gaussian windowed sinusoidal gratings), each occupying one of 16 × 16 invisible square grids ($0.825^\circ \times 0.825^\circ$ each). Some Gabors formed collinear contour paths, and the remaining ones were randomly oriented. The Gabors had a spatial frequency at 3 cpd, a standard deviation at 0.15°, and a contrast at 70%. The phases of the Gabors varied randomly from 0° to 315° in 45° steps. When slit-viewed, the stimulus image moved behind a vertical slit at a speed of 6.4°/s in psychophysical experiments or 6.8°/s in fMRI experiments.

In psychophysical experiments, a straight contour path formed by 9 collinear Gabor elements was embedded in a eld of randomly oriented Gabors (Fig. 1a). The center of the path was randomly positioned within a range of ±2.5° from the stimulus center. To control the density cues, the center-to-center horizontal distance of adjacent contour elements varied from 0.9 to 1.1 times the average interelement distance (AIED) that was equal to the grid width. The global orientation of the contour path was randomized either between 15° and 60° or between 120° and 165°. A random stimulus image (without any contour path) was also generated within the same trial in a different stimulus interval by randomly shuf ing the positions of all Gabors in the contour stimulus image.

In fMRI experiments, to maintain a suf ciently strong signalto-noise ratio of blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) responses, each contour stimulus image consisted of 5 nearly parallel contours, each containing 4 -10 Gabor elements. The 5 contours of different lengths were randomly placed, and the distance between 2 neighboring contours was randomized from 1.5° to 2.25° . The global orientation of each contour was randomized from 30° to 45° or from 135° to 150° .

All stimuli were generated with Matlab-based Psychtoolbox3 (Pelli 1997). In psychophysical experiments, the stimulus images were presented on a 21 in. CRT monitor (1280 × 1024 pixels, 0.3 mm × 0.3 mm pixel size, 85 Hz frame rate, and 47 cd/m² mean luminance). The luminance of the monitor was linearized by an 8bit look-up table. Viewing was binocular at a distance of 46 cm. A chin-and-head rest was used to stabilize the head of the observer. Experiments were run in a dimly lit room. In fMRI experiments, the stimuli were presented through a projector onto a mirror in front of the observer (1280 × 1024 pixels, 0.44 mm × 0.44 mm pixel size, 60 Hz frame rate). The luminance of the projector was linearized by an 8-bit look-up table. Viewing was binocular at a distance of 67 cm.

Psychophysical Procedure

Contour detection performance was measured with a two-interval forced-choice method of constant stimuli. In each trial, the stimulus image moved behind a vertical slit of various widths from left to right or reversely for 2 s. Two stimulus intervals, one containing the contour image and the other containing the random stimulus image, were separated by a 500-ms interstimulus interval. Observers were instructed to report which interval contained a contour. Each slit width was repeated in 60 trials.



 Figure 1. Psychophysical results for CI over time under the slit-viewing condition. (
 a) Stimuli: A collinear contour imbedded in random Gabors (left), random Gabors with

 no contour path (middle), and an example frame of the stimuli moving behind a nonmoving slit (right). (
 b) Contour detection as a function of the slit width. The smooth

 curve is the t of a power function. AIED, average interelement distance. (
 c) Contour detection as a function of the orientation jitter of individual contour elements.

 (d) Contour detection as a function of the uniform orientation deviation of all individual contour elements from the contour path. (
 e) Contour detection as a function of the contour detection as a function of the position jitter perpendicular to the contour path. Error bars represent 1 SEM.

the Netherlands). Pre-processing of functional data included slice scan time correction, three-dimensional motion correction, linear trend removal, and temporal high-pass Itering (3 cycles per run), but not spatial smoothing. Trials with head motion larger than 1 mm of translation or 1 degree of rotation were excluded from analysis (<5% of total trials). The functional images were aligned to anatomical data and the complete data were transformed into Talairach space. For each observer, the functional imaging data between the 2 sessions were co-aligned, registering all volumes for each observer to the rst functional volume of the rst run and session. This procedure ensured a cautious registration across sessions.

Regions of Interest

For each individual observer, we identi ed retinotopic motionrelated [V3B/kinetic occipital (KO)] and shape-related [lateral occipital (LO)] areas using standard procedures. Speci cally, visual areas (V1, V2, V3, V3a, hV4, and V7) were de ned by standard retinotopic mapping procedures using rotating wedge stimuli (Engel et al. 1994 ; Sereno et al. 1995 ; DeYoe et al. 1996). hV4 was identi ed as the region comprising the ventral but not the dorsal subregion of V4 (Wandell et al. 2007). V3B/KO was de ned as the set of contiguous voxels anterior to V3A and inferior to V7 showing signi cantly higher response to kinetic boundaries than transparent motion (Dupont et al. 1997 ; Zeki et al. 2003). One observer in the fulleld viewing condition did not complete the localizer scan of V3B/KO. For this observer, we de ned the V3B/KO based on known Talairach coordinates for this region (left hemisphere: -29.5, -83.9, 1.8; right hemisphere: 31.5, -81.4, 3.6). Area middle temporal (MT) was de ned as the set of voxels in the lateral temporal cortex demonstrating signi cantly higher activation to an array of moving dots than to a static array dot (Zeki et al. 1991). LO was de ned as a set of contiguous voxels in the posterior ventral occipitotemporal cortex showing signi cantly stronger activation for intact than for scrambled images (Malach et al. 1995; Kourtzi and Kanwisher 2001). Note that the anterior LOC subregions and ventral regions around the fusiform and the parahippocampal gyrus were not included, since they were covered by high-resolution slices scanned in this study. Finally, intraparietal areas [ventral intraparietal sulcus (VIPS), left hemisphere: -24.2, -73.2, 25; right hemisphere: 27.4, -72, 25.8 and parieto occipital intraparietal sulcus (POIPS), left hemisphere: -20.3, -66.1, 42.6; right hemisphere: -20.8, -65.2, 42.7] were de ned on the basis of known Talairach coordinates for these regions (Orban et al. 1999), since we did not have a functional localizer for these areas. Data from different hemispheres were merged for further analysis. The nal regions of interest (ROIs) spanned both hemi-[-5 (w) 1 Tff 6.6747 0 Td [1.1 4

For the collinear contour stimuli shown in Figure 1a, the observers might have responded on the basis of a barberpole illusion kind of percept: The up or down movements of the contour elements along the vertical slit. This possibility has not been completely ruled out by Figure 1d because in the latter experiment, the orientation of contour elements deviated from the contour path. To further examine this possibility, we separately jittered the positions of individual contour elements along the direction perpendicular to the contour path. This lateral position jitter destroyed the collinearity without changing the orientations of the contour elements. As a result, the up or down motions of individual contour elements were largely unchanged when viewed through the narrow slit, and so was the potential barberpole illusion. Data from 4 observers showed that the contour detection performance started to decrease after the average position jitter was over ±0.5 AIED, and rapidly approached the chance level when the jitter was larger than ±1.5 AIED (Fig. 1e). These results suggest that contour detection under slit-viewing is unlikely a result of the barberpole illusion.

fMRI Experiments

The above psychophysical data suggest that CI over time may not necessarily involve V1 horizontal connections. We conducted the following fMRI experiments to examine the cortical mechanism underlying this new CI format.

In the rst fMRI experiment, 9 observers were presented with 4 types of stimuli with slit-viewing in a blocked design: Right--45°. tilted contour stimuli (collinear contours oriented at 30° Fig. 2b) and their counterpart random images (all the positions of individual Gabors were randomly reshuf ed), and left-tilted contour stimuli (collinear contours oriented at 150° -165°) and their counterpart random images. The ROIs shown in Figure 2ainclude early ventral and dorsal visual areas and intraparietal sulcus (IPS). These areas are highly relevant to visual information processing and were covered by our high-resolution fMRI sequence (1.5 mm × 1.5 mm × 2 mm). However, a comparison of fMRI responses (i.e., percent signal change from the xation baseline) between contour versus random stimuli showed no signi cant differences in these ROIs ($F_{1.8} < 1$, P=0.60, $\eta^2 = 0.036$). We thus used MVPA, a more sensitive measure in discriminating activation patterns distributed across voxels.

We tested the accuracy of a linear SVM in classifying fMRI signals associated with contour versus random stimuli in each ROI. A repeated-measures ANOVA showed a signi cant effect of ROI ($F_{3.7,30} = 7.18$, P<0.001 with the Greenhouse –Geisser correction, $\eta^2 = 0.473$). In particular, accuracies in higher dorsal visual areas (e.g., V3B/KO, P=0.003), IPS (VIPS,P=0.016; POIPS,P<0.001), and LO (P=0.001) were signi cantly higher than baseline as calculated by bootstrapping (Fig. 2c; see Materials and Methods for baseline calculation). In contrast, no signi cant differences were observed in the early visual areas (V1, P=0.33; V2, P=0.17) and ventral visual areas (V3v, P=0.71; hV4, P=0.34).

We reasoned that contours could be perceived only after a number of local elements have been integrated over time. As a result, brain areas involved in visual memory should contain information that supports the discrimination of contours of different global orientations. To test this hypothesis, we examined fMRI selectivity for contour orientation by training an SVM classi er to discriminate activations for the right- versus left-tilted contours (Fig. 2e). Only in POIPS was the classi cation accuracy signi cantly higher (P=0.001) than baseline (Fig. 2f), suggesting that POIPS, which is involved in visual memory (Linden et al. 2003; Todd and Marois 2004 ; Marois and Ivanoff 2005), may play

a critical role in storing and integrating contour elements for CI over time (also see Discussion).

Next, we measured fMRI responses to fulleld stationarv stimuli (the same right- and left-tilted contour stimuli and their counterpart random images used in the previous experiment, which were no longer viewed through a slit) in 7 observers to compare brain areas involved in CI over space versus over time. Detection performance (hit rate) was over 90% for contours and random stimuli (Fig. 3a). Again, MVPA was used to discriminate between activations for contours versus random stimuli. In contrast to CI over time, the classi cation accuracies were now signi cantly higher than baseline (P<0.001) across all ROIs, including visual areas as early as V1 (Fig. 3b), consistent with previous fMRI results (Kourtzi et al. 2003). In addition, MVPA was also used to discriminate activations for different contour orientations (Fig. 3c,d), which also showed different classi cation accuracies across ROIs ($F_{3,4,20,4}$ = 13.03, P<0.001, η^2 = 0.685). The accuracies were signi cantly higher than the baseline in early visual areas V1 (P=0.001) and V2 (P<0.001), early ventral and dorsal visual areas V3d (P<0.001) and V3a (P<0.001), but not in higher dorsal visual areas V3b/KO (P=0.12) and V7 (P=0.14), posterior parietal regions VIPS (P=0.21) and POIPS (P=0.36), and LO (P=0.27). The lack of signi cant accuracies in LO may be due to neural populations that are size and orientation invariant in this region (Grill-Spector et al. 1999).

In Figure 1a, when the stimulus contained a diagonal contour path, a single contour element moved upward or downward along the slit. Such vertical motion was not present when the stimulus contained no contour. Figure 1d shows that when the contour elements were all equally rotated from the contour path by 30 -75°, contour detection deteriorated to chance levels. In these conditions, a single contour element still moved upward or downward along the slit. Therefore, the observers did not knowingly use the illusory motion as a cue for contour detection. However, even under these null-detection conditions, the motion cues could be extracted by neurons in V3A/B and other visual areas, and the classi er applied to fMRI data could discriminate between contour and random stimulus patterns based on signals related to these motion cues. Such a confound is more likely to be evident in our fMRI contour stimuli that contained 5 contours in a single stimulus, in contrast to one in psychophysical experiments. We ran an fMRI control experiment to test for this confound

The stimuli patterns contained collinear contours, no contours, or contour stimuli with all elements oriented 45° from the contour paths ("ladders, " Fig. 4a). Collinear contours and ladders resulted in similar vertical motion of local elements, but only collinear contours produced coherent contour perception (Fig. 4b). We compared activation patterns in visual and posterior parietal areas when 7 observers responded to collinear contours, ladders, and random stimuli. Performance detection was 83.4% for collinear contours and 45.7% for ladder contours, consistent with previous results that detection of ladder contours is impoverished (Schwarzkopf and Kourtzi 2008; Zhang and Kourtzi 2010). MVPA was used to classify fMRI responses related to collinear versus random stimuli and ladders versus random stimuli (Fig. 4c). The classi er's performance for discriminating ladders versus random contours was not signi cantly different from the baseline across ROIs. However, classi cation accuracy for collinear versus random contours differed from the accuracy for ladders versus random stimuli. There was a signi cant main effect of classi er (collinear vs. random and ladders vs. random, $F_{1.6} =$ 16.8, P=0.006, η^2 = 0.737) and a signi cant interaction between classi er and ROI ($F_{3.7,22.1} = 3.9$, P = 0.017, $\eta^2 = 0.393$). Classi cation





accuracy was signi cantly higher for collinear versus random stimuli than for ladders versus random stimuli in the dorsal visual areas ($F_{1,6}$ =25.6, P=0.002, η^2 =0.81), LO [$t_{(6)}$ =2.88, P=0.028, Cohen's d=1.09], and IPS ($F_{1,6}$ =21.51, P=0.004, η^2 =0.78), but not in the early visual areas ($F_{1,6}$ =1.35, P=0.29, η^2 =0.18). Furthermore, the classi cation accuracy showed a similar pattern between collinear versus random stimuli and collinear versus ladder stimuli. There were a nonsigni cant main effect of classier ($F_{1,6}$ =1.5, P=0.267, η^2 =0.20) and a nonsigni cant interaction between classi er and ROI ($F_{3.5, 21.2}$ =1.07, P=0.39, η^2 =0.15),

con rming that the activities in these areas re ect integration signals under slit-viewing. These results suggest that higher visual (ventral and dorsal) and posterior parietal regions contain information about the perceived contours under the slit-viewing condition, rather than the perceived vertical motion of similarly oriented contour elements.

We performed additional control analyses to examine whether the observed fMRI activation patterns could be due to differences in general arousal levels or eye movements. We did not observe any signi cant univariate BOLD signal differences

between contour stimuli and random stimuli in the rst fMRI experiment ($F_{1,8} < 1$, P=0.60, η^2 =0.036), suggesting that the fMRI results with the slit-viewing condition could not be explained by higher general arousal levels for salient contours. Comparing slit-viewing (Fig. 2) and fullel eld viewing (Fig. 3) conditions did not reveal a signi cant main effect of viewing condition ($F_{1,14} < 1$, P=0.51, η^2 =0.031). Behavioral performance in contour

2004; Marois and Ivanoff 2005 ; Xu and Chun 2006), but also in attentional processing (Corbetta et al. 1998). However, differential POIPS activation patterns for different contour orientations suggest that here POIPS is mainly responsible for CI over time in the visual memory, rather than for general attentional processing, consistent with other studies on slit-viewing (Mateeff et al. 1993; Nishida 2004 ; Silvanto and Cattaneo 2010). The roles of the dorsal areas in global percepts de ned by mot-2 (f.9 (os (r)-14.11.4 (w)17.ign1 (010)]TJ69 TTc 8428061 -1.3984 Tdhav)33-11.6the≱lsoen47dohe8-11

- Bettencourt KC, Xu Y. 2016. Decoding the content of visual short-term memory under distraction in occipital and parietal areas. Nat Neurosci. 19:150 –157.
- Caclin A, Paradis AL, Lamirel C, Thirion B, Artiges E, Poline JB, Lorenceau J. 2012. Perceptual alternations between unbound moving contours and bound shape motion engage a ventral/ dorsal interplay. J Vis. 7(11):1 –24.
- Chen M, Yan Y, Gong X, Gilbert CD, Liang H, Li W. 2014. Incremental integration of global contours through interplay between visual cortical areas. Neuron. 82:682 –694.
- Corbetta M, Akbudak E, Conturo TE, Snyder AZ, Ollinger JM, Drury HA, Linenweber MR, Petersen SE, Raichle ME, Van Essen DC, et al. 1998. A common network of functional areas for attention and eye movements. Neuron. 21:761–773.
- DeYoe EA, Carman GJ, Bandettini P, Glickman S, Wieser J, Cox R, Miller D, Neitz J. 1996. Mapping striate and extrastriate visual areas in human cerebral cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 93:2382–2386.
- Dupont P, De Bruyn B, Vandenberghe R, Rosier AM, Michiels J, Marchal G, Mortelmans L, Orban GA. 1997. The kinetic occipital region in human visual cortex. Cereb Cortex. 7:283–292.
- Engel SA, Rumelhart DE, Wandell BA, Lee AT, Glover GH, Chichilnisky EJ, Shadlen MN. 1994. fMRI of human visual cortex. Nature. 369:525.
- Feldman J. 2001. Bayesian contour integration. Percept Psychophys. 63:1171 –1182.
- Field DJ, Hayes A, Hess RF. 1993. Contour integration by the human visual system: evidence for a local "association eld". Vision Res. 33:173–193.
- Geisler WS, Perry JS, Super BJ, Gallogly DP. 2001. Edge co-occurrence in natural images predicts contour grouping performance. Vision Res. 41:711 –724.
- Gilad A, Meirovithz E, Slovin H. 2013. Population responses to contour integration: early encoding of discrete elements and late perceptual grouping. Neuron. 78:389 –402.
- Gilbert CD, Wiesel TN. 1989. Columnar speci city of intrinsic horizontal and corticocortical connections in cat visual cortex. J Neurosci. 9:2432– 244022.

- Xu YD, Chun MM. 2006. Dissociable neural mechanisms supporting visual short-term memory for objects. Nature. 440:91 –95.
- Zaretskaya N, Anstis S, Bartels A. 2013. Parietal cortex mediates conscious perception of illusory gestalt. J Neurosci. 33:523 –531.
- Zeki S, Perry RJ, Bartels A. 2003. The processing of kinetic contours in the brain. Cereb Cortex. 13:189 –202.
- Zeki S, Watson JD, Lueck CJ, Friston KJ, Kennard C, Frackowiak RS. 1991. A direct demonstration of functional specialization in human visual cortex. J Neurosci. 11:641 –649.
- Zhang J, Kourtzi Z. 2010. Learning-dependent plasticity with and without training in the human brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 107:13503–13508.